

# Volume 3.1 (1992)

## Overview

[Volume 3, No. 1 \(1991\) - Symposium Report After Allaire and Bélanger-Campeau](#)

The idea for the symposium, conceived originally by Alan Cairns, was to gather scholars to think imaginatively about our future constitutional prospects in a closed session. In this way, rhetorical stances could be replaced with genuine dialogue. Participants were asked to come, not with prepared papers, but only with their thoughts on several questions circulated before the meeting. This is a report of that discussion. Because of the closed nature of the symposium, the identity of speakers generally remains anonymous. Some of the participants, however, have kindly offered their views arising out of the symposium, and they are included in this issue.

Comments by:

- Michael Asch (Department of Anthropology, University of Alberta)
- Paul Chartrand (Department of Native Studies, University of Manitoba)
- Dale Gibson (Faculty of Law, University of Alberta)
- Andrée Lajoie (Université de Montréal, Faculté de droit)
- Howard Leeson (Department of Political Science, University of Regina)
- Joseph Magnet (Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa)
- Philip Resnick (Department of Political Science, University of British Columbia)
- Peter Russell (Department of Political Science, University of Toronto)
- Stephen Scott (Faculty of Law, McGill University)
- Jennifer Smith (Department of Political Science, Dalhousie University)
- Katherine Swinton (Faculty of Law, University of Toronto)